2115

Box 3 Ford City, Pa 16226FEC MAIL CENTER

2015 APR -6 AM 8: 11 March 30, 2015

FEC

999 E St., N.W. Washington, DC

20463

C00481168

Objection To Termination Proposal

Dear Ms. Chacona,

The attached envelope shows your letter dated February 11 was held by the USPS for some unknown reason, and then sent by forwarded mail to me on March 25. It just arrived.

Under §102.4(b) I have 30 days to object from yesterday. I object.

First, your agency has a duty to identify the basis, and you do not. You only state it is one of a list of possible reasons and you expect me to read minds to identify which. That is arbitrary and, therefore, it violates due process rights of the 5th Amendment.

Second, it would end my campaign that is continuing. Your own FEC form says this:

Line 7. Principal Campaign Committee Each candidate for federal office (other than a nominee for the office of Vice President) must designate in writing a political committee to serve as his or her principal campaign committee.

Campaign Guide, Statement of Candidates, p. 8 (FEC).

I will be specific. I ran in the last several years, and I submitted required reports to the FEC for those campaigns. In 2014 state courts issued a paper to remove me from that race; but it did not. I had removed their action to the federal court weeks before they issued their paper, and that removal ended the power of the state court. So their paper was not an order and I was still a candidate all year. The state supreme court refused review in December after the election, and I appealed to the U.S. Supreme Court. That Court has the power to declare the state election void and compel a new election. Marks v. Stinson, 19 F.3d 873, 886-888 (3rd Cir. 1994)(court has power to void an election result and remove the winner and put the losing candidate into office). The FEC does not have the power to destroy my campaign just to be Third, I am now campaigning for election in 2016, and I have spent money for it.

经基础 经债款证券 医三维 网络人名马拉尔

Fourth, I was informed by your staff that I will be repaid the loans I made to my committee if I keep filing reports and people eventually make contributions, and I win. That creates an estoppel. JAA v. United States I.N.S., 779 F.2d 569, 572 (9th Cir. 1986) (estoppel against the U.S. agency). Sign of the state of the state

Sincerely,

Mel M. Marin